Historical Introductions to the Lutheran Confessions

By F. Bente
Historical Introductions
to the
LUTHERAN CONFESSIONS

As contained in
The Book of Concord of 1580

By F. Bente

C O N C O R D I A  P U B L I S H I N G  H O U S E  •  S A I N T  L O U I S
## Contents

### I. The Book of Concord, or The Concordia
- General and Particular Symbols ........................................ 1
- The German Book of Concord ........................................... 2
- The Latin Concordia ....................................................... 6
- English Translations ....................................................... 7
- Corpora Doctrinae Supplanted by Book of Concord ............... 9
- Subscription to Confessions ........................................... 11
- Pledging of Ministers to the Confessions .......................... 14

### II. The Three Ecumenical or Universal Symbols
- Ecumenical Symbols ....................................................... 17
- The Apostles’ Creed ....................................................... 18
- Apostles’ Creed and Early Christian Writers ....................... 20
- Tertullian and Cyprian on Apostles’ Creed ......................... 21
- Variations of the Apostles’ Creed .................................... 22
- Present Form of Creed and Its Contents ............................ 24
- The Nicene Creed .......................................................... 25
- Niceno-Constantinopolitan Creed .................................... 26
- The Athanasian Creed ..................................................... 27
- Luther on Ecumenical Creeds ......................................... 29

### III. The Augsburg Confession
- Diet Proclaimed by Emperor ............................................ 31
- Apology Original Plan of Lutherans ................................ 32
- Transformation of Apology into Confession
  - Due to Eck’s Slanders .................................................. 33
- Marburg, Schwabach, and Torgau Articles ......................... 35
- Luther’s Spokesman at Augsburg ..................................... 36
- Drafting the Confession .................................................. 38
- Public Reading of the Confession .................................... 39
- Luther’s Mild Criticism .................................................. 41
- Luther Praising Confession and Confessors ....................... 43
- Manuscripts and Editions of Augustana ............................ 44
Signatures of Augsburg Confession ..................................47
Tributes to Confession of Augsburg .................................49

IV. Melanchthon's Alterations of the Augsburg Confession ......51
Changes Unwarranted ......................................................51
Detrimental Consequences of Alterations ........................52
Attitude toward Variata ....................................................54
Alterations in Editions of 1531, 1533, 1540 ....................56
Alterations Render Confession Ambiguous ......................57
Variata Disowned by Lutheran Church ............................58

V. The Pontifical Confutation of the Augsburg Confession ......63
Papal Party Refusing Conciliation ....................................63
Further Success Not Hoped for by Luther .......................65
Papal Peace Sought by Emperor .................................67
Compulsion Advocated by Theologians .....................68
Emperor Employs Mildness .............................................70
Augustana Submitted to Catholic Party .........................71
Rabid Theologians Appointed as Confutators ..................73
Confutation Prepared .......................................................74
A Bulky, Scurrilous Document .........................................75
Confutation Adopted and Read .......................................78
Confutation Denounced by Lutherans .............................80
Luther on the Confutation ..............................................81

VI. The Apology of the Augsburg Confession ..............................85
Emperor Demands Adoption of Confutation ..................85
Sustained by Luther .........................................................86
Copy of Confutation Refused to Lutherans .....................88
Lutherans on Roman Duplicity and Perfidy ....................90
Original Draft of Apology .................................................92
Apology Presented, but Acceptance Refused ....................93
Apology Recast by Melanchthon .....................................95
Completion of Apology Delayed ...................................97
German Translation by Jonas ........................................99
Alterations of Apology ................................................100
Purpose, Arrangement, and Character of Apology ..........101
Moderate Tone of Apology ............................................103
Symbolical Authority of Apology .................................106
VII. Smalcald Articles and Tract concerning
   Power and Primacy of Pope .........................................109
   General Council Demanded by Lutherans ..........................109
   Luther’s Views Regarding the Council ............................111
   Elector Opposed to Hearing Papal Legate .........................112
   Elector Imbued with Luther’s Spirit ................................113
   Opinion of Theologians .............................................115
   Elector’s Strictures on Opinion of Theologians ................117
   Counter-Council Disadvised .......................................118
   Articles Drafted by Luther .........................................120
   Articles Endorsed by Elector ......................................123
   Melanchthon’s Qualified Subscription ............................124
   Luther’s Articles Sidetracked at Smalcald ......................126
   Articles Not Discussed in Meeting of League ..................128
   Meeting of Theologians ............................................129
   Luther’s Articles Subscribed ......................................132
   Endorsed by Princes and Estates ................................133
   Symbolical Authority of Smalcald Articles .....................135
   Editions of Smalcald Articles ....................................137
   Tract on the Power and Primacy of the Pope ....................138
   Authorship of Tract ...............................................139
   A Threefold Criticism .............................................140

VIII. Luther’s Efforts at Restoring Catechetical Instruction .........143
    Modern Researches Respecting Luther’s Catechisms .........143
    Meaning of the Word Catechism ..................................144
    Chief Parts of Catechism .........................................146
    Parts Inherited from Ancient Church ............................147
    Service Rendered Catechism by Luther ..........................149
    Catechetical Instruction before Luther ........................150
    Medieval Books of Prayer and Instruction ......................152
    Church Visitation Reveals Deplorable Ignorance ..............156
    Luther Devising Measures to Restore Catechism ................158
    Cooperation of Parents Urged by Luther .......................161
    German Services with German Catechism .......................164
    Luther Illustrating Method of Procedure .......................165
    Value Placed on Memorizing ......................................167
Memorizing to Serve Understanding .....................169
Manuals Preceding Luther's Catechism ....................171
Luther's Catechetical Publications ..........................172
Immediate Forerunners of Luther's Catechisms ..........175
Catechism of Bohemian Brethren ..........................177

IX. The Small and the Large Catechism of Luther ..........179
Luther Beginning Work on Catechisms ....................179
Tables Published First ........................................180
Completion of Catechisms Delayed .......................183
Similarity and Purpose of Catechisms .....................185
Particular Purpose of Large Catechism ....................187
Special Purpose of Small Catechism .......................188
Small Catechism Intended Also for Pastors ...............190
A Book Also for Schools and Teachers ....................191
Titles of Large Catechism ..................................192
Editions of Large Catechism ...............................194
Title of Small Catechism ..................................195
Editions of Small Catechism ...............................196
Translations and Elaborations of Small Catechism ......198
The Part “Of Confession” ......................................201
Office of the Keys and Christian Questions ...............203
The Table of Duties—Haustafel ..............................204
Symbolical Authority of Catechisms .......................207
Enemies and Friends of Small Catechism ..................208
Evaluation of Small Catechism ..............................210
Literary Merit of Small Catechism ..........................213

X. The Smalcald War and the Augsburg and Leipzig Interims ..215
Bulwark of Peace Removed ....................................215
Luther Foretold Coming Distress ............................216
Unfortunate Issue of Smalcald War .......................219
The Augsburg Interim ..........................................221
Attitude of John Frederick toward Interim ...............224
Melanchthon's Attitude toward the Interim ...............225
Melanchthon and the Leipzig Interim ......................227
Provisions of Leipzig Interim ...............................229
Flacius and Other Opponents of Interimists ..............230
Grief over Melanchthon’s Inconstancy ................................233
Interim Eliminated Politically, but Not Theologically ....235

XI. Controversies Following the Interim
and Settled by the Formula of Concord .......................237
Three Theological Parties ...........................................237
Various Theological Controversies ..............................238
Conflicts Unavoidable ..............................................240
Melanchthon Prime Mover of Conflicts .......................242
Melanchthon’s Humanistic and Unionistic Tendencies ..243
Melanchthon’s “Shameful Servitude” ..........................246

XII. The Adiaphoristic Controversy ..............................249
Contents of the Leipzig Interim .................................249
Issue in Adiaphoristic Controversy .............................251
Opposition to the Adiaphorists .................................252
Doctrinal Position of Anti-Adiaphorists ......................255
Sophistries of Adiaphorists Refuted ...........................256
Theological Attitude of Flacius Sanctioned ...................261

XIII. The Majoristic Controversy .................................263
Early Origin of This Error ........................................263
Formula Renewed—Abandoned ................................267
Major Champions Error ...........................................269
Major’s Modifications ..............................................271
Menius Sides with Major .......................................274
Attitude of Anti-Majorists ......................................276
Major’s Concessions Not Satisfactory .......................280
Absurd Proposition of Amsdorf ...............................285
Other Points of Dispute ........................................287

XIV. The Synergistic Controversy .................................291
Relation of Majorism and Synergism .........................291
Luther’s Monergism ..............................................293
Luther’s Doctrine Endorsed ....................................296
The Father of Synergism ........................................299
Unsound Statements of Melanchthon .........................301
Pfeffinger Champions Synergistic Doctrine ..................307
Statements of Pfeffinger .......................................308
Strigel and Huegel Entering Controversy ...................311
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weimar Disputation</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigel's Rationalistic Principle</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigel's Theory</td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigel's Semi-Pelagianism</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strigel's “Cooperation”</td>
<td>322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objections Answered</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching of the Anti-Synergists</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude of Formula of Concord</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XV. The Flacian Controversy</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flacius Entrapped by Strigel</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Context in which Statement Was Made</td>
<td>338</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formal and Material Substance</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Further Explanations of Flacius</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controversy Precipitated by Flacius</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications Pro and Con</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherents of Flacius</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision of Formula of Concord</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVI. The Osiandrian and Stancarian Controversies</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osiander in Nuernberg and in Koenigsberg</td>
<td>355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opposed by Moerlin and Lutherans Generally</td>
<td>357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corruptions Involved in Osiander’s Teaching</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excerpts from Osiander’s Writings</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attitude of Brenz and Melanchthon</td>
<td>366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osiander’s Views on Image of God</td>
<td>368</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error of Stancarus</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deviations of Parsimonious and Hamburg Ministers</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XVII. The Antinomistic Controversy</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distinction between Law and Gospel of Paramount Import</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricola Breeding Trouble</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricola’s Conflict with Melanchthon</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luther’s First Disputation against the Antinomians</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luther’s Second Disputation against the Antinomians</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third and Fourth Series of Luther’s</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theses against Antinomianism</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Luther’s Third Public Disputation
against the Antinomians ...........................................385

Agricola’s Retraction Written and Published by Luther .387
Luther’s Fourth Disputation Against the Antinomians.....389

“Grickel” Remained Grickel ...........................................392
False Propositions of Agricola .........................................393
Poach, Otto, Musculus, Neander .................................394

XVIII. The Crypto-Calvinistic Controversy ......................403
Contents and Purpose of Articles VII and VIII ..............403
John Calvin .............................................................405
Calvin’s Zwinglianism ....................................................407
Melanchthon’s Public Attitude ......................................409
Melanchthon’s Private Views ...........................................412
Misled by Oecolampadius and Bucer .............................413
Secret Letters and the Variata of 1540 .........................414

XIX. Controversy on Christ’s Descent into Hell ..................449
Luther’s Doctrine ...........................................................449
Aepinus in Hamburg .....................................................451
Opposed by His Colleagues ...........................................452
Other Participants in This Controversy .......................454
XX. The Eleventh Article of the Formula of Concord:
On Predestination ..........................................................457
Why Article XI was Embodied in the Formula ........457
Unguarded Statements of Anti-Synergists ..........459
Synergistic Predestination .......................................460
Calvinistic Predestination .......................................463
Calvinistic Confessions .......................................464
Marbach and Zanchi in Strassburg ......................467
The Strassburg Formula ........................................470
Predestination according to Article XI
of Formula of Concord ........................................471
Agreement of Articles XI and II .................475
Mystery in Doctrine of Grace .........................479
Predestination a Comforting Article ...............483
Statements of Article XI on Consolation
Offered by Predestination ..........................485

XXI. Luther and Article XI of the Formula of Concord ........489
Luther Falsely Charged with Calvinism ..........489
Summary of Luther’s Views ..........................491
Object of Luther’s “De Servo Arbitrio” ..........495
Relation of Man’s Will toward God’s Majesty ........497
God Not the Cause of Sin ...................................499
Free Will a Mere Empty Title .........................501
The Gospel to Be Our Only Guide .................504
God’s Grace Is Universal and Serious ..........506
Sola Gratia Doctrine Engenders Assurance ....507
Truth of God’s Majesty Serves God’s Gracious Will 508
There Are No Real Contradictions in God ..........509
Seeming Contradictions Solved in Light of Glory ....512
Statements Made by Luther before
Publication of “De Servo Arbitrio” .................513
Made by Luther in 1528 ..................................517
Statements Made by Luther in 1531 and 1533 ....518
Statements Made by Luther in 1538 and 1545 ....521
Statements Made by Luther in
His Commentary on Genesis .................522
Luther Never Retracted His Doctrine of Grace ....524
Luther's Doctrine Approved by Formula of Concord ....527
Attitude of Apology of the Book of Concord ...............528
Agreement of Apology with
Formula of Concord and Luther ..........................529
Apology on Universalis Gratia Seria et Efficax ..........531
Apology on God's Mysterious Judgments and Ways ......532

XXII. Article XII of the Formula of Concord:
Of Other Heretics and Sects .................................535
Purpose of Article XII ...........................................535
The Anabaptists ..................................................536
Balthasar Hubmaier ..........................................538
Dachser and Hutt ..............................................539
John Denk .........................................................541
The Schwenckfeldians ....................................542
Schwenckfeldt's Doctrine ................................543
The Antitrinitarians ........................................545
Franck, Campanus, Ochino, Servetus, Blandrata, etc....546
Davidis and Socinus ..........................................548

XXIII. Origin, Subscription, Character, etc.,
of Formula of Concord ........................................551
Lutherans Yearning for a Godly Peace ..................551
Pacific Overtures of Flacius .................................552
Lower Saxons Endeavoring to Mediate
between Melanchthon and Flacius ...........................553
Futile Efforts of Duke John Albrecht .....................557
Clash at Colloquy in Worms, 1557 .......................558
Efforts of Princes to Restore Unity: Frankfort Recess ....560
General Lutheran Council Advocated by Flacianists .....563
Futile Efforts of Princes at Naumburg ....................564
Andreae and Chemnitz .......................................566
First Peace Efforts of Andreae Fail .......................568
Andreae's Sermons and the Swabian Concordia ........570
The Swabian-Saxon Concordia ............................571
The Maulbronn Formula ....................................572
The Torgau Book ..............................................574
The Bergic Book or the Formula of Concord .............577
Subscription to the Formula of Concord .................578
Subscription in Electoral Saxony, Brandenburg, etc. .....581
Where and Why Formula of Concord was Rejected .....583
Formula Not a New Confession Doctrinally ..............584
Formula a Reaffirmation of Genuine Lutheranism ....586
Sole Standard and Rule ..................................................589
Formula Benefited Lutheran Church .........................590
Necessity of Formula of Concord ...............................592
Formula Fully Met Requirements ...............................593
Formula Attacked and Defended ...............................594
Modern Strictures on Formula of Concord .................595
Formula Unrefuted ........................................................597
Index ..............................................................................599
Preface to the Reprinting

CHRISTIANS WHO FAIL TO REMEMBER THEIR HISTORY ARE CAST ADRIFT in an increasingly stormy sea of controversy, division, and disregard for our Lord’s Word and Sacraments. What is more, they rob themselves of the opportunity to thank and praise the Lord of the Church for His rich and varied blessings through specific persons, events, places, and times.

Professor Friederich Bente knew this better than most as he labored to produce this historical introduction to the various documents contained in the Book of Concord. Even though Bente reflects older scholarship, and may strike some as too strident in the positions he embraces and the manner in which he writes of his subject matter, his unqualified acceptance of the Book of Concord as a true and unadulterated exposition of the Word of God makes his work extremely helpful for our day and age. While more contemporary treatments of this same subject matter supply many helpful insights and perspectives, they do not surpass Bente’s passionate commitment to being and remaining truly Lutheran. In fact, modern treatments of the same subjects must be very carefully evaluated since they are produced in part by theologians who have intentionally surrendered key points of Lutheran doctrine for the sake of various ecumenical compromises. Intense commitment to the truth confessed in the Book of Concord reflects itself on each page of Bente’s work. He was not interested in creating a novel work to be admired and praised by academia or the guild of scholars. His concern was to provide a resource for those who bear the name Lutheran, and all who wish to understand why the Lutheran church treasures her precious “Concordia.”

Genuine Lutherans are those who confess the truths of the Book of Concord because they are absolutely certain that these truths are nothing more, and nothing less, than the teaching of God’s Holy Word, the Sacred Scriptures. For in the sacred text of Scripture is revealed the One who is the Lord of History, who came among us to earn for us forgiveness, life, and eternal salvation, even Jesus Christ our Lord, who with the Father and the Spirit, lives and reigns to all eternity.
taught and instructed in what they must believe, do, not do, and know concerning Christianity. Pupils who were accepted for such instruction and learned the faith before being baptized were therefore called catechumens. Nor do I know how to present this instruction, or teaching, in a form more simple than it already has been presented since the beginning of Christianity, and hitherto retained, to wit, the three parts: the Ten Commandments, the Creed, and the Lord’s Prayer. These three parts contain in simple and brief form everything that a Christian must know. And since as yet we have no special congregation (weil man noch keine sonderliche Gemeinde hat), this instruction must proceed in the following manner, by preaching from the pulpit at various times or daily, as necessity demands, and by repeating and reading it to the children and servants at home in the houses morning and evening (if one would make Christians of them). Yet not only so that they memorize the words or recite them, as was done hitherto, but by questioning them part for part, and having them state in their answer what each part means and how they understand it. If all parts cannot be asked at one time, take one, the next day another. For if the parents or guardians are unwilling to take such pains with the young, either personally or through others the Catechism will never be established.” (19, 76)

German Catechism in German services—such, then, was the slogan which Luther now sounded forth with ever-increasing emphasis.

Luther Illustrating Method of Procedure

According to Luther’s *German Worship*, pastors were to preach the Catechism on Mondays and Tuesdays. To insure the desired results (memorizing and understanding the text), the children should be questioned, especially at home by the parents. Exemplifying such catechization, Luther writes: “For so shall they be asked: ‘What do you pray?’ Answer: ‘The Lord’s Prayer,’ What do you mean by saying: ‘Our Father who art in heaven?’ Answer: ‘That God is not an earthly, but a heavenly Father, who would make us rich and blessed in heaven,’ ‘What does “Hallowed be Thy name” mean?’ Answer: ‘That we should honor God’s name and not use it in vain, lest it be profaned,’ ‘How, then, is it profaned and desecrated?’ Answer: ‘When we who are regarded as His children lead wicked lives, teach and believe what is wrong,’ And so forth, what God’s kingdom means; how it comes; what God’s will is, what daily bread, etc. Likewise also of the Creed: ‘What do you believe?’ Answer: ‘I believe in God the Father,’ etc. Thereupon part for part, as
leisure permits, one or two at a time. Thus: ‘What does it mean to believe in God the Father Almighty?’ Answer: ‘It means that the heart trusts Him entirely, and confidently looks to Him for all grace, favor, help, and comfort, here and hereafter,’ ‘What does it mean to believe in Jesus Christ, His Son?’ Answer: ‘It means that the heart believes we should all be lost eternally if Christ had not died for us,’ etc. In like manner one must also question on the Ten Commandments, what the first, the second, the third and other commandments mean. Such questions you may take from our Prayer-Booklet, where the three parts are briefly explained, or you may formulate others yourself, until they comprehend with their hearts the entire sum of Christian knowledge in two parts, as in two sacks, which are faith and love. Let faith’s sack have two pockets; into the one pocket put the part according to which we believe that we are altogether corrupted by Adam’s sin, are sinners and condemned, Rom. 5:12 and Ps. 51:7. Into the other pocket put the part telling us that by Jesus Christ we have all been redeemed from such corrupt, sinful, condemned condition, Rom. 5:18 and John 3:16. Let love’s sack also have two pockets. Into the one put this part, that we should serve, and do good to, every one, even as Christ did unto us, Rom. 13. Into the other put the part that we should gladly suffer and endure all manner of evil.” (19, 76)

In like manner passages of Scripture were also to be made the child’s property, as it were; for it was not Luther’s idea that instruction should cease at the lowest indispensably necessary goal (the understanding of the text of the chief parts). In his *German Order of Worship* he goes on to say: “When the child begins to comprehend this [the text of the Catechism], accustom it to carry home passages of Scripture from the sermons and to recite them to the parents at the table, at meal-time, as it was formerly customary to recite Latin, and thereupon to store the passages into the sacks and pockets, as one puts pfennige, and groschen, or gulden into his pocket. Let the sack of faith be, as it were, the gulden sack. Into the first pocket let this passage be put, Rom. 5: ‘By one man’s disobedience many were made sinners’; and Ps. 51: ‘Behold, I was shapen in iniquity, and in sin did my mother conceive me,’ Those are two Rheinish gulden in the pocket. The other pocket is for the Hungarian gulden, such as this passage, Rom. 5: ‘Christ was delivered for our offenses, and was raised again for our justification’; again, John 1: ‘Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the world.’ That would be two good Hungarian gulden in the pocket. Let love’s sack be the silver sack. Into the first pocket belong the
passages of well-doing, such as Gal. 5: ‘By love serve one another’; Matt. 25: ‘Inasmuch as ye have done it unto one of the least of these My brethren, ye have done it unto Me.’ That would be two silver groschen in the pocket. Into the other pocket this passage belongs, Matt. 5: ‘Blessed are ye when men shall persecute you for My sake’; Heb. 12: ‘For whom the Lord loveth He chasteneth: He scourgeth every son whom He receiveth.’ Those are two Schreckenbergers [a coin made of silver mined from Schreckenberg] in the pocket.” (19, 77f.)

Believing that understanding, not mere mechanical memorizing, of the Catechism is of paramount import, Luther insisted that the instruction must be popular throughout. Preachers and fathers are urged to come down to the level of the children and to prattle with them, in order to bring the Christian fundamentals home even to the weakest and simplest. In his German Mass Luther concludes the chapter on instruction as follows: “And let no one consider himself too wise and despise such child’s play. When Christ desired to train men He had to become a man. If we are to train children, we also must become children with them. Would to God that such child’s play were carried on well; then we should in a short time see a great wealth of Christian people, and souls growing rich in the Scriptures and the knowledge of God until they themselves would give more heed to these pockets as locos communes and comprehend in them the entire Scriptures; otherwise they come daily to hear the preaching and leave again as they came. For they believe that the object is merely to spend the time in hearing, no one intending to learn or retain anything. Thus many a man will hear preaching for three, four years and still not learn enough to be able to give account of his faith in one particular, as I indeed experience every day. Enough has been written in books. True, but not all of it has been impressed on the hearts.” (19, 78)

Value Placed on Memorizing

Modern pedagogues have contended that Luther’s method of teaching the Catechism unduly multiplies the material to be memorized, and does not sufficiently stress the understanding. Both charges, however, are without any foundation. As to the first, it is true that Luther did not put a low estimate on the memorizing of the Catechism. In the Large Catechism he says: “Therefore we must have the young learn the parts which belong to the Catechism or instruction for children well, and fluently and diligently exercise themselves in them and keep them occupied with them. Hence it